

1 Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)
2 hfrahn@stblaw.com
3 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
4 2550 Hanover Street
5 Palo Alto, CA 94304
6 Telephone: (650) 251-5000
7 Facsimile: (650) 251-5002
8

6 *Attorneys for Defendants*
7 *Chimei Innolux Corporation,*
8 *Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and*
9 *CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE No. 3:10-cv-03517-SI
MDL No. 3:07-md-1827-SI

This Document Relates to Individual
No. 3:10-cv-03517-SI

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING TIME TO
RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

1 The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request
2 an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei
3 Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.
4 (collectively, the “Chi Mei Defendants”), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and
5 Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the “Hitachi Defendants”), to respond to the
6 amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of Florida on April 13, 2011, in the above-captioned
7 litigation (the “Amended Complaint”).

8 WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants
9 in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

10 WHEREAS the Court entered an order denying Defendants’ joint motion to dismiss the
11 Amended Complaint on September 15, 2011;

12 WHEREAS on September 29, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending Defendants’
13 deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to October 28, 2011;

14 WHEREAS on October 26, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending the Chi Mei and
15 Hitachi Defendants’ deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to November 11, 2011;

16 WHEREAS on November 16, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending the Chi Mei
17 and Hitachi Defendants’ deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to December 9, 2011;

18 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants have agreed to a
19 settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation;

20 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Hitachi Defendants have agreed to a
21 settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation, subject to board approval;

22 WHEREAS the parties would benefit from additional time to finalize the settlement;

23 WHEREAS extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants to
24 answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already
25 fixed by the Court;

26 THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi
27 Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

28

The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until January 5, 2012 to answer the Amended Complaint.

Dated: December 6, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE
OF FLORIDA

By: /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer
Nicholas J. Weilhammer

R. Scott Palmer
Elizabeth A. Brady
Nicholas J. Weilhammer (*pro hac vice*)
Eli Friedman
Office of the Attorney General
State of Florida
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
(850) 414-3300 / (850) 488-9134
nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

By: /s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV
Harrison J. Frahn IV

Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)
hfrahn@stblaw.com
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
2550 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 251-5000
Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

*Attorneys for Defendants
Chimei Innolux Corporation,
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and
CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

1 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2 By: /s/ Kent M. Roger
3 /s/ Kent M. Roger

4 Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
5 One Market, Spear Street Tower
6 San Francisco, California 94105
7 Telephone: (415) 442-1001
8 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

7 *Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi
8 Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

1 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this
2 document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a "confirmed"
3 signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

4

5 Dated: December 6, 2011

/s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV

6

Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/12, 2011

By Susan Illston
HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE